



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,788	04/16/2004	Fabrice Chimienti	20349-564	1282
30623	7590 09/27/2005		EXAMINER	
•	EVIN, COHN, FERRIS,	LOCKARD, JON MCCLELLAND		
AND POPEO, P.C. ONE FINANCIAL CENTER BOSTON, MA 02111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/826,788	CHIMIENTI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jon M. Lockard	1647				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. they filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ju	l <u>y 2005</u> .					
·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-79 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13-59 and 61-79</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-79 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)    Paper No(s)/Mail Date    Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/826,788

Art Unit: 1647

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of I, claims 1-12 and 50, drawn to a method for

treating a neurological disorder in a subject by administering an effective amount of SLURP-1,

in the reply filed on 27 July 2005 is acknowledged. Because Applicant did not distinctly and

specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been

treated as an election without traverse (MPEP §818.03(a)).

2. It is noted that the previous restriction requirement was in error for including claim 50 in

Group I, it should have recited claim 60.

3. Claims 13-59 and 61-79 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking

claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 27 July 2005.

Status of Application, Amendments, and/or Claims

4. The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in

correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application

should be directed to Art Unit 1647, Examiner Jon Lockard.

5. Upon further consideration, the Examiner has determined that claims within elected

Invention I are directed to three patentably distinct methods. Accordingly, a second restriction

requirement is set forth below.

- 6. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-7, 9-12, and 60, in so far as they are drawn to a method for treating a neurological disorder in a subject by administering an effective amount of SLURP-1 protein, classified in class 514, subclass 2, for example.

Page 3

- II. Claims 1-8, 11-12, and 60, in so far as they are drawn to a method for treating a neurological disorder in a subject by administering an effective amount of a vector expressing the SLURP-1 protein, classified in class 514, subclass 44, for example.
- III. Claims 1-7, 11-12, and 60, in so far as they are drawn to a method for treating a neurological disorder in a subject by administering an effective amount of SLURP-1 mimetic, classification dependent upon compound structure.
- 7. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 8. Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in M.P.E.P. § 806.05 for inventive Inventions that are directed to <u>different</u> methods, restriction is deemed to be proper because these methods appear to constitute patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons: Inventions I, II, and III are directed to methods that are distinct both physically and functionally, and are not required one for the other.
- 9. Invention I requires search and consideration of administering a protein, which is not required by Inventions II or III. Invention II requires search and consideration of administering a nucleic acid, which is not required by Inventions I or III. Invention IIII requires search and consideration of administering a mimetic, which is not required by Inventions I or II. Therefore, each method is divergent in materials and steps. For these reasons, Inventions I, II, and III are

Application/Control Number: 10/826,788

Art Unit: 1647

patentably distinct. Furthermore, the distinct steps and products require separate and distinct,

Page 4

non-coextensive searches. The inventions of Groups I, II, and III have a separate status in the art

as shown by their separate search requirements and different classifications. As such, it would

be burdensome to search the inventions of Groups I, II, and III together.

10. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, separate search

requirements, and/or different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is

proper.

11. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/826,788 Page 5

Art Unit: 1647

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Jon M. Lockard**, **Ph.D.** whose telephone number is (571) 272-2717. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Brenda Brumback**, can be reached on (571) 272-0961.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JML September 20, 2005

Bridget C. Bunner

PATENT EXAMINER